

COMPILING LAMBDA CALCULUS

Nils M Holm

Contents

Preface	5
Lambda Calculus	7
Notation	7
<i>Syntax and Short Cuts</i>	9
Free and Bound Variables	9
<i>Substitution</i>	11
Conversion and Reduction	12
<i>Convertibility</i>	14
<i>Redexes and Normal Forms</i>	14
<i>Reduction Strategies</i>	15
<i>Confluence</i>	17
<i>Extensionality</i>	18
<i>Variable Convention</i>	19
Application	21
Church Numerals	21
<i>Basic Operations</i>	22
<i>Predecessor and Difference</i>	23
Propositional Functions	25
Fixed Points and Recursion	27
<i>Recursion</i>	28
<i>Schematic Definitions</i>	31
Ordered Pairs	32
<i>Lists</i>	33
<i>Typed Pairs</i>	34
Compilation	39
The Language	39
An Abstract Reduction Machine	40
<i>A Naive Compiler</i>	41
<i>Bindings and Free Variables</i>	42

<i>Abstract Interpretation</i>	44
Environment Propagation	51
<i>Replacing Free Variables with Indexes</i>	52
<i>Environment Vector Compilation</i>	55
<i>Interpretation of Environment Vectors</i>	57
Inlining Primitive Functions	61
Mutable Variables	65
<i>Mutation and Environment Vectors</i>	67
<i>Mutation and Recursion</i>	71
Multi-Variable Functions	73
Variadic Functions	78
Error Handling	80
Unrolling the Loops	84
<i>Call Frames</i>	84
<i>The Virtual Machine</i>	85
<i>VM Instructions</i>	87
<i>Unrolling the Interpreter Loops</i>	90
<i>The Compiler</i>	91
Emitting Native Code	96
<i>The Compiler</i>	97
<i>Runtime Support</i>	103
<i>Sample Run</i>	112
An LC-Based Language	117
Definition	118
Implementation	119
<i>Runtime Support</i>	130
Optimizations	132
<i>Environment Pruning</i>	133
<i>Elimination of Boxing</i>	139
<i>Elimination of Saved Variables</i>	140
Extension	141
<i>Expanding Schematic Definitions</i>	142

<i>Global Definitions</i>	145
Appendix	149
Finding Bound Variables	149
Finding Free Variables	149
Renaming Variables	150
GENSYM Function	151
Lambda Calculator	152
Scheme VM	154
Mathematical Symbols	159
List of Figures	160
List of Definitions	161
Bibliography	163
Index	165

Preface

Lambda calculus is an abstract term rewriting system that was originally designed to solve mathematical problems on a sheet of paper. Paper is an inherently *immutable* tool, though: each term is rewritten by placing a modified term under the original one.

Computer systems, on the other hand, are inherently mutable systems: problems are solved by changing the values of registers.

This book describes how to adapt lambda calculus to computer-based environments, by interpreting it or translating it to a notation that is better fitted for processing by a register-based machine.

Chapter one of the book describes lambda calculus in general: its syntax, composition of formulae, common abbreviations, and the rules that are used to *reduce* (i.e. compute) lambda terms. This chapter introduces the basic theory of lambda calculus.

The second chapter illustrates how lambda calculus works in practice, by applying it to fundamental problems like the implementation of natural numbers, ordered pairs, and lists. It also introduces fixed points and recursive formulae.

The third chapter implements a very simple subset of the Scheme language, which is loosely based on lambda calculus.

It starts with a simple interpreter for a tiny subset language and then modifies it subsequently in order to cover a wider range of the Scheme language and finally shifts the focus from interpretation to compilation and code generation. The full code for all interpreters and compilers is included in the text.

The concluding chapter presents a language that resembles lambda calculus more closely, by implementing currying and partial evaluation. The implications of the design are outlined and compared to typical LISP and Scheme implementations. Again, a working compiler for the language is included in the text.

This book is intended for compiler writers and people who are interested in lambda calculus and LISP languages. It focuses on theory as well as interpretation and code generation.

The code in the book is mostly in lambda calculus and Scheme, with a few short passages in C for addressing the more technical side of program interpretation (i.e. runtime support and code generation).

Machine-readable versions of the programs in this book may be found on the author's homepage: <http://t3x.org>.

Although the code in the book is simple and straight-forward, some familiarity with Scheme and C is certainly beneficial to following the text, especially the later chapters.

And now, as usual, enjoy the tour!

Nils M Holm, Nov 2016

Second Edition

The first edition of “Compiling Lambda Calculus” was published in a rush, so it accumulated a lot of errata in the months after its publication. In this new edition a lot of small mistakes have been corrected and the prose has been revised in some places.

The section on optimization in the “LC-based language” chapter now contains an algorithm for environment pruning, which was only outlined in the first edition, because other matters got in the way. I am glad that I finally found the time to get back to this book, pick up some loose ends, and add the finished touches.

Nils M Holm, Jul 2018

Lambda Calculus

Lambda calculus (or λ -calculus) forms the theoretical basis for most functional programming languages. It is often associated with concepts like “anonymous functions” or “closures” but we will start from the beginning here and have a closer look at the formal system that is λ -calculus.

A *formal system* is a mathematical concept for manipulating terms of a formal language. It is comprised of three parts:

- notation (syntax)
- theory (axioms and rules)
- semantics (meaning of terms)

In the following, the notation and theory of λ -calculus will be given formally and semantics will be specified informally as required.

Notation

The *alphabet* of λ -calculus (LC) is the following:

$$\lambda \ (\) \ a_i \cdots z_i \ A_i \cdots Z_i$$

where $a \cdots z$ denotes the letters from a to z , a_i denotes the letters a_0, a_1, \dots , etc. Any letter of the form x_0 is normally written x . There is an infinite number of letters. Some texts use $\bar{a}, \bar{\bar{a}}$, etc instead of a_1, a_2, \dots .

By convention, lower case letters (x, y, \dots) represent variables and upper case letters (M, N, \dots) represent terms, which may in turn be variables, abstractions, or applications (see below).

A *well-formed formula* (*wff*) is a any combination of symbols from the alphabet of a formal system that forms a correct term under the rules of that system. The wffs of LC are defined inductively as follows [Church1941]:

(W1) $x \in \{a_i, \dots, z_i, A_i, \dots, Z_i\}$ is a wff. (variable)

(W2) If x is a variable and M is a wff, $(\lambda x M)$ is a wff. (abstraction)

(W3) if M and N are wffs, (MN) is a wff. (application)

Only combinations of symbols that can be built from the above rules are valid terms of the LC. For instance:

$x \ (\lambda xx) \ (MN) \ (x(yz)) \ ((\lambda x(xx))y) \ (\lambda a(\lambda b((Fb)a)))$

A *variable* is similar to a variable in a programming language, with one minor difference: a variable does not necessarily have a value, but it can stand for itself. In other words: LC does not assign any special meaning to a variable other than its lexical form. Variables may be acted upon by the rules outlined later in this chapter.

Abstraction can be thought of as the creation of a new *function* $(\lambda x M)$, where x is the independent variable of the function and M is its term. In pure λ -calculus, x must appear in M , while in λK -calculus (pg 16), it does not have to. This text deals with λK -calculus exclusively.

(FN) denotes the *application* of the term F to the term N . If F is an abstraction of the form $(\lambda x M)$, then

(App) $(FN) = ((\lambda x M)N) = M[x:=N]$

where $M[x:=N]$ denotes M with all occurrences of x replaced by N , i.e. the *substitution* of N for x in M . For example:

$((\lambda x(\lambda y(yx)))A) = (\lambda y(yx))[x:=A] = (\lambda y(yA))$

The F in (FN) is called the *function* and N is called the *argument* in the context of application.

If M in (MN) is not an abstraction, then (MN) is in its “normal form” (pg 14) and the term is not rewritten in any way.

Unfortunately, application is a bit more complex than outlined here. We will return to it shortly (pg 11).

Syntax and Short Cuts

Because λ -terms can become pretty unwieldy pretty quickly, the *abbreviations* (A1) through (A5), listed in figure 1, are commonly used.

(A1)	(λxM)	λxM	
(A2)	(MN)	MN	
(A3)	$((MN)P)$	MNP	
(A4)	$(M(NP))$	$M(NP)$	
(A5)	$(\lambda x(\lambda yM))$	$\lambda xy(M)$	also: $\lambda x\lambda y(M)$

Figure 1 - Abbreviations

Furthermore, a dot is used to bracket everything to the right of the dot, e.g.:

$$\lambda x. yz \equiv \lambda x(yz) \equiv (\lambda x(yz))$$

$$\lambda xyz. xz(yz) \equiv \lambda xyz(xz(yz)) \equiv (\lambda x(\lambda y(\lambda z((xz)(yz))))))$$

The notation $x \equiv y$ is used to indicate that the terms x and y are syntactically *equivalent*. The notation is also used to *define* new functions, by assigning names to terms.

As can be seen from (A3), application *associates* to the left, i.e. in a sequence of two operations, the left one will take precedence over the right one: $MNP = (MN)P$.

Abstraction, on the other hand, associates to the right (A5):

$$\lambda xyz. xz(yz) = \lambda x(\lambda y(\lambda z. xz(yz)))$$

This follows immediately from definition (W2) of wffs (pg 8).

Free and Bound Variables

Given the term $(\lambda x\lambda y. xy)y$, the result of a naive substitution as described previously (pg 8) would result in the term $\lambda y. yy$, which is clearly different from $\lambda y. xy$. The problem in this case is that the variable y was *captured* during substitution, i.e. it was substituted in a term in which it was already “bound”.

A variable x is *bound* in a term M , if M contains at least one *sub-term* of the form λxN . A sub-term can be:

- a variable
- the M in λxM
- either the N or M in MN

A variable that is not bound in a term is called *free* in that term.

For example:

The variable x is free in the term x .

Both x and y are *free* in xy .

x is bound and y is free in $\lambda x. xy$.

x is bound *and* free in $(xx)\lambda xx$ (bound in λxx , free in (xx)).

In general, the set of bound variables $\underline{BV}(M)$ of a term M is defined as follows.

(The notation \underline{XY} is used to denote symbolic names consisting of multiple characters; the bar is used to distinguish the name “XY” from the term XY (X applied to Y).)

$$(BV1) \quad \underline{BV}(x) = \emptyset$$

$$(BV2) \quad \underline{BV}(\lambda xM) = \{x\} \cup \underline{BV}(M)$$

$$(BV3) \quad \underline{BV}(MN) = \underline{BV}(M) \cup \underline{BV}(N)$$

For instance,

$$\begin{aligned} & \underline{BV}((\lambda x\lambda y. x)\lambda ab) \\ &= \underline{BV}(\lambda x\lambda y. x) \cup \underline{BV}(\lambda ab) && (BV3) \\ &= \underline{BV}(\lambda x\lambda y. x) \cup \{a\} \cup \underline{BV}(b) && (BV2) \\ &= \underline{BV}(\lambda x\lambda y. x) \cup \{a\} \cup \emptyset && (BV1) \\ &= \{x\} \cup \underline{BV}(\lambda y. x) \cup \{a\} && (BV2) \\ &= \{x\} \cup \{y\} \cup \underline{BV}(x) \cup \{a\} && (BV2) \\ &= \{x\} \cup \{y\} \cup \emptyset \cup \{a\} && (BV1) \\ &= \{x, y, a\} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the set $\underline{FV}(M)$ of free variables of the term M is formed as follows:

$$(FV1) \quad \underline{FV}(x) = \{x\}$$

$$(FV2) \quad \underline{FV}(\lambda xM) = \underline{FV}(M) \setminus \{x\}$$

$$(FV3) \quad \underline{FV}(MN) = \underline{FV}(M) \cup \underline{FV}(N)$$

For example:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \underline{FV}(\lambda x. Fx) & \\
 = \underline{FV}(Fx) \setminus \{x\} & \quad \text{(FV2)} \\
 = (\underline{FV}(F) \cup \underline{FV}(x)) \setminus \{x\} & \quad \text{(FV3)} \\
 = (\{F\} \cup \{x\}) \setminus \{x\} & \quad 2 \times \text{(FV1)} \\
 = \{F\} &
 \end{aligned}$$

Programs computing the sets \underline{BV} and \underline{FV} can be found in the appendix (pg 149).

Substitution

Using the concept of free and bound variables, more precise rules for the *substitution* $M[x:=N]$ can now be defined [Church1941], see also [Hankin2004] for a more compact presentation:

- (S1) $x[x:=N] \equiv N$
- (S2) $y[x:=N] \equiv y$
- (S3) $(\lambda xM)[x:=N] \equiv \lambda xM$
- (S4) $(\lambda xM)[y:=N] \equiv \lambda x(M[y:=N]),$
if $x \notin \underline{FV}(N)$ or $y \notin \underline{FV}(M)$
- (S5) $(\lambda xM)[y:=N] \equiv \lambda z(M[x:=z])[y:=N],$
if $x \in \underline{FV}(N), y \in \underline{FV}(M), z$ not in λxM
- (S6) $(MN)[x:=P] \equiv (M[x:=P])(N[x:=P])$

The notation $M[x:=N]$ was introduced by Barendregt, while Church used the “substitution” operator $S_N^x M$. The notation $M[x/N]$ is also used, but less common.

Rule (S1) basically says that substituting a term N for a (free) variable x results in the term N .

Rule (S2) says that variables not subject to a specific substitution will not be affected by that substitution, e.g. substituting x will not change any occurrences of y .

Rule (S3) states that bound variables are unaffected by substitution, e.g. $(\lambda xx)[x:=N] \equiv \lambda xx$.

Rule (S4) defines naive substitution as described earlier (pg 8). This rule covers the case where no name capturing takes place during substitution. (Note: the $y \notin \underline{FV}(M)$ part covers the trivial case where no substitution would take place at all.)

Rule (S5) deals with name capturing. It basically says that when a name bound in a term M would be captured during substitution, that name will be replaced with a different name (z) first, where z does not appear in M (neither bound nor free). For example:

$(\lambda x \lambda y. xy)[x:=y]$ is converted to $(\lambda x \lambda z. xz)[x:=y]$

Rule (S6), finally, covers substitution in applications by inductively applying the rules (S1) through (S6) to both the function M and argument N in (MN) .

Conversion and Reduction

Conversion is the process of rewriting a term according to some specific rules. In this section, the rules of λ -conversion will be discussed, i.e. the rules for rewriting λ -terms.

Substitution rule (S5) contains the following conversion:

(Alpha) $\lambda x M \rightarrow_{\alpha} \lambda y (M[x:=y])$
if $y \notin \underline{FV}(M)$ and $y \notin \underline{BV}(M)$

This conversion is called α -conversion and it indicates that the names of bound variables can be substituted in λ -terms, as long as the new name is not already contained in the term. E.g.: λxx is the same as λyy or λaa . We say that these terms are “equal modulo α -conversion” and write:

$\lambda xx \equiv_a \lambda yy \equiv_a \lambda aa$

Note that

$\lambda xy \not\equiv_a \lambda yy$

because $y \in \underline{FV}(\lambda xy)$.

In the remainder of this text, syntactic equality will always be considered modulo α -conversion, so $M \equiv N$ will always denote $M \equiv_{\alpha} N$.

The application rule (App) is also known as β -conversion:

$$\text{(Beta)} \quad (\lambda xM)N \rightarrow_{\beta} M[x:=N]$$

where $M[x:=N]$ indicates substitution according to the rules (S1) through (S6).

Multiple β -conversion steps may be possible in the same term:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda xyz. xz(yz)) (\lambda xy. x) (\lambda xy. x) \\ & \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda yz. (\lambda xy. x)z (yz)) (\lambda xy. x) \\ & \rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda z. (\lambda xy. x)z ((\lambda xy. x)z) \\ & \rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda z. (\lambda y. z) ((\lambda xy. x)z) \\ & \rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda z. (\lambda y. z) (\lambda y. z) \\ & \rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda zz \end{aligned}$$

In general, M is said to be β -reducible (or just *reducible*) to N , if there is a finite number of reduction steps that converts M into N . This is indicated by the notation $M \twoheadrightarrow_{\beta} N$. Formally:

$$\text{(BR)} \quad \frac{M \equiv N \text{ or } (M \rightarrow_{\beta} L \text{ and } L \twoheadrightarrow_{\beta} N)}{M \twoheadrightarrow_{\beta} N}$$

The above notation is borrowed from *sequent calculus* (see [Gentzen1934]). It is a formalization of logical reasoning, where the premises or propositions are listed above a horizontal bar and the conclusions under the bar.

When exactly one single β -reduction step is required to transform M into M , M is said to be *immediately reducible* to N . When zero or more steps are required, M is said to be *reducible* to N .

So above rule (BR) states, “if M is syntactically equal (modulo α -conversion) to N or immediately reducible to L and L is reducible to N , then M is reducible to N .”

Note that definition (BR) includes the trivial case $M \equiv N$, which requires zero reduction steps.

In the following, $M \twoheadrightarrow N$ will indicate $M \twoheadrightarrow_{\beta} N$ and $M \rightarrow N$ will denote $M \rightarrow_{\beta} N$, unless stated otherwise.

Convertibility

When either a term M is β -reducible to N or N is β -reducible to M , the terms are said to be β -convertible (or just convertible):

$$\text{(Conv)} \quad \frac{M \twoheadrightarrow_{\beta} N \text{ or } N \twoheadrightarrow_{\beta} M}{M =_{\beta} N}$$

Note that β -reduction is reflexive ($M =_{\beta} M$ in zero reduction steps) and transitive (by definition) and β -convertibility is also symmetric (by definition), so convertibility is an equivalence relation:

$$M =_{\beta} M \quad \text{(reflexive)}$$

$$\frac{M =_{\beta} N}{N =_{\beta} M} \quad \text{(symmetric)}$$

$$\frac{M =_{\beta} L \quad L =_{\beta} N}{M =_{\beta} N} \quad \text{(transitive)}$$

Immediate convertibility $M \rightarrow N$, on the other hand, is neither reflexive (exactly one step is required) nor transitive (if $M \rightarrow L$ and $L \rightarrow N$, then there is no single step conversion from M to N).

In the following, $M = N$ will be used in the place of $M =_{\beta} N$, if the meaning of the term can be understood from the context.

Redexes and Normal Forms

A term of the form $(\lambda x M)N$ is called a β -redex, i.e. a “ β -reducible expression”. (The words “term” and “expression” are used as synonyms here.) A term that does *not* contain any β -redexes is said to be in β -normal form.

In the following “redex” shall indicate a β -redex and “normal form” (or NF) shall indicate a “ β -normal form”.

A term M is said to “have a normal form”, if $M = N$ and N is in normal form.

If a term has a NF, then that NF is unique. Two terms M and N may be considered to be equal (modulo β -reduction), if they reduce to the same NF (for a proof, see [Barendregt1985]):

Compilation

The Scheme language [R4RS] can be considered to be an implementation of λ -calculus, although there are some significant differences, as will be shown in the next chapter (pg 117).

In this chapter the interpretation and compilation of a subset of Scheme will be discussed. First, the classic model of LISP and Scheme interpretation will be introduced. Then an alternative model of interpretation will be explained and the focus will shift from λ -calculus towards Scheme. The chapter will conclude with the translation of a small Scheme subset to portable C code.

The Language

The following is a formal summary of the Scheme subset to be used in this chapter. We will call this subset *Scheme*₀ and advance the index as more definitions will be added to the language. Of course, abstraction and application are the only parts of the language that would really be required, but we add some further definitions for convenience. Definitions can be thought of to be schematic definitions (pg 31):

(L1) **(lambda (v) e)** $\rightarrow (\lambda ve)$

(L2) **(e1 e2)** $\rightarrow (e_1 e_2)$

(L3) **(if e1 e2 e3)** $\rightarrow [\text{if } e_1; e_2; e_3] \rightarrow ((e_1(\lambda xe_2)(\lambda xe_3))(\lambda xx))$

(L1), (L2), and (L3) are just alternative syntax for λ -abstraction, application, and propositional functions. Note that **lambda** is really limited to a single variable for now. This restriction will be lifted later (pg 73).

In (L3), note that e_1 will be replaced with $F \equiv (\lambda xy. y)$, if $e_1 \equiv \text{nil}$ and with $T \equiv (\lambda xy. x)$ otherwise, thereby translating Scheme truth-values to λ -calculus.

(L4a) **(quote e)** $\rightarrow \lambda xe$

(L4b) **(quote e)** $\rightarrow [\text{nf } e]$

Quotation is a concept that cannot easily be translated to λ -calculus. It is used in Scheme to refer to *data objects*, a concept not known in λ -calculus. A data object may be thought of as a term that is not to be evaluated.

Hence there are two approaches to representing quoted objects. One is to use λ -abstraction to create a term in *head normal form* (L4a), the other would be to create a special schematic definition which denotes that a term already *is* in normal form (L4b).

Both approaches have their advantages and drawbacks. (L4a) maps **quote** to pure λ -calculus, but in order to access the quoted value, the resulting abstraction has to be applied to a value, which is not how quoted objects are defined in Scheme.

(L4b) avoids this problem, but at the cost of adding terms to λ -calculus that have the shapes of redexes but the semantics of normal forms.

Due to these issues quotation will be handled rather informally in the following.

The remaining definitions are merely syntactic sugar for the functions dealing with *kons* pairs and lists:

$$(L5) \quad (\mathbf{cons} \ e_1 \ e_2) \rightarrow (\underline{kons} \ e_1 \ e_2) \equiv ((\lambda xykn. kxy)e_1 e_2)$$

$$(L6) \quad (\mathbf{car} \ e) \rightarrow (\underline{kar} \ e) \equiv ((\lambda k. (k \lambda xy. x) \lambda x. \underline{nil})e)$$

$$(L7) \quad (\mathbf{cdr} \ e) \rightarrow (\underline{kdr} \ e) \equiv ((\lambda k. (k \lambda xy. y) \lambda x. \underline{nil})e)$$

$$(L8) \quad (\mathbf{pair?} \ e) \rightarrow (\underline{konsp} \ e) \equiv ((\lambda k. (k \lambda xy. T) \lambda x F)e)$$

$$(L9) \quad (\mathbf{null?} \ e) \rightarrow (\underline{nullp} \ e) \equiv ((\lambda k. (k \lambda xy. F) \lambda x T)e)$$

Note that the propositional functions **pair?** and **null?** return **()** for falsity and **(quote t)** for truth. The **if** syntax follows [R4RS] and allows the empty list **()** to represent falsity. The more modern **#t** and **#f** forms are absent.

An Abstract Reduction Machine

Languages based on λ -calculus are typically interpreted by *abstract machines*, i.e. computer programs that implement a set of rules for interpreting formal languages.

A special case of the abstract machine is the *metacircular interpreter*, where the language being interpreted (source language, S) and the implementation language (I) of the interpreter are the same, so that primitive functions of S can directly be implemented using the corresponding functions of I. See [McCarthy1978] for an example.

In this chapter the principle of metacircular interpretation will be explored and combined with the *translation* of programs to a more suitable form for interpretation by actual computer hardware.

A Naive Compiler

Here is a simple *compiler* that translates *Scheme*₀ to a language suitable for abstract interpretation. The following transformations are performed:

Source	Target	Note
v	<i>v</i>	variable
(quote e)	<i>(%quote e)</i>	quotation
(lambda (v) e)	<i>(%lambda (v) e)</i>	abstraction
(if p c a)	<i>(%if p c a)</i>	selection
(e1 e2)	<i>(%apply e₁ e₂)</i> <i>(%tail-apply e₁ e₂)</i>	application

Most terms are kept as they are, but a *%*-prefix is added to mark keywords as machine instructions (which means that the “%” character must be excluded from the alphabet of the source language in order to avoid the accidental injection of instructions).

Only application is transformed into either an *%apply* or *%tail-apply* instruction. The type of the application instruction depends on the context in which the application appears. In each line, **a** indicates an application and **t** indicates a *tail application*:

- (T1) **(if a t t)**
- (T2) **(lambda (v) t)**
- (T3) **(t (a ...))**

In every other context, application is an ordinary (non-tail) application. Note in particular: **(t (a e))**, i.e. only the outermost application in a composition of functions is in a tail position.

The meaning of tail application will be explained in a following section that deals with abstract interpretation (pg 49).

Here is the Scheme code to the compiler performing the above transformations:

```
(define (comp x)

  (define (comp-expr x t)
    (cond ((not (pair? x))
           x)
          ((eq? 'quote (car x))
           `(%quote ,(cadr x)))
          ((eq? 'lambda (car x))
           `(%lambda ,(cadr x)
              ,(comp-expr (caddr x) #t)))
          ((eq? 'if (car x))
           `(%if ,(comp-expr (cadr x) #f)
                 ,(comp-expr (caddr x) t)
                 ,(comp-expr (caddrr x) t)))
          (else
           `((if t '%tail-apply '%apply)
              ,@(map (lambda (x)
                       (comp-expr x #f))
                     x))))))

  (comp-expr x #f))
```

Bindings and Free Variables

In λ -calculus, application is implemented by substitution (see rules (S1) through (S6), pg 11). However, substitution is impractical for interpretation by a computer, because it potentially has to replace variables by values in a lot of different places in a term. E.g., in

$(\lambda x. xxx)M$

three instances of the variable x would have to be replaced during beta reduction (rule (Beta), pg 13).

Hence an extension to λ -calculus is introduced here that allows to keep track of substitutions without actually performing them:

$$(\lambda x. xxx)M \rightarrow [x = M]xxx$$

This is an alternative syntax for the substitution

$$xxx[x := M]$$

but we will in particular use the new notation to keep track of substitutions performed in abstractions, e.g.:

$$(\lambda xy. x)M \rightarrow \lambda y. [x = M]x$$

In general:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(Env1)} \quad & (\lambda x_1 \cdots x_n. M)N_1 \cdots N_n \\ & \equiv (\lambda x_1 \cdots x_n. []M)N_1 \cdots N_n \\ & \rightarrow (\lambda x_2 \cdots x_n. [x_1 = N_1]M)N_2 \cdots N_n \\ & \twoheadrightarrow [x_n = N_n; \cdots; x_1 = N_1]M \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(Env2)} \quad & [x_n = N_n; \cdots; x_1 = N_1]M \\ & \equiv M[x_n = N_n] \cdots [x_1 = N_1] \\ & \text{if } x_1, \cdots, x_n \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^n \underline{FV}(N_i) \end{aligned}$$

The $[x = M]$ part in $\lambda y. [x = M]N$ is called the *environment* of the term. An environment consists of a list of *variable-term* pairs $x = M$, where each pair indicates that the variable x is *bound* to the value M . Each variable in the term N that appears in the environment is to be replaced with the value to which it is bound. An environment may be empty, which is denoted by $[]$.

This approach is equivalent to multiple (i.e. zero or more) substitutions as shown in (Env2), as long as no variable in the environment occurs free in a term of the environment. We will later see that this precondition is trivially fulfilled in the implementation (pg 54).

Note particularly that, due to (Env1),

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda xx. M)NP &\rightarrow (\lambda x. [x = N]M)P \\ &\rightarrow [x = P; x = N]M \\ &\equiv M[x = P] \end{aligned}$$

because after the substitution $M[x = P]$, there are no free instances of x left in M . Technically speaking, variables of inner scopes take precedence over variables of outer scopes. This phenomenon is known as *shadowing* of variables in programming jargon. It is consistent with the reduction

$$(\lambda xx. x)NP \twoheadrightarrow P$$

Abstract Interpretation

The code presented in this section *evaluates* expressions compiled by the compiler discussed previously. In this context, “evaluating an expression” basically means to reduce it to its normal form mechanically. All evaluators described in the following reduce *restricted* $\lambda K\beta$ -calculus and do not reduce head normal forms.

The abstract machine performing the reduction operates on four objects:

- the expression x
- the environment E
- the stack S
- the continuation C

The *expression* x is a compiled $Scheme_0$ program as described in the previous sections.

The *environment* E is an ordered set of variable bindings as described in the previous section, like the $[x = N]$ part in the term $\lambda y. [x = N]M$. Note that E is merely a global pointer to the (possibly local) environment currently in effect. More on that below.

List of Figures

1	Abbreviations	9
2	Diamond property	17
3	Logic operators	26
4	List structure	34
5	Environments	48
6	Environment propagation	59
7	Boxing	69
8	Call frame, upward-growing stack	85
9	Collecting variadic arguments	87
10	Tail call optimization	90
11	Tail call optimization with constant frame size	131
12	Environment pruning	134

List of Definitions

(W1)–(W3)	Well-formed formulae	8
(App)	Application	8
(A1)–(A5)	Abbreviations	9
(BV1)–(BV3)	Bound variables	10
(FV1)–(FV3)	Free variables	10
(S1)–(S6)	Substitution	11
(Alpha)	α -conversion	12
(Beta)	β -conversion	13
(BR)	β -reduction	13
(Conv)	(β -)convertibility	14
(Rest)	Restricted λK -calculus	16
(Conf)	Confluence	17
(Ext)	Extensionality	18
(η)	η rule	18
(S4a)	Substitution with variable convention	19
(Ren)	Renaming of bound variables	20
(FP)	Fixed Points	27
(List)	Lists	33
(List2)	Lists with end of list	33
(L1)–(L9)	<i>Scheme</i> ₀	39
(T1)–(T3)	<i>Scheme</i> ₀ tail positions	41
(Env1),(Env2)	Environments	43
(EncT)	Enclosing terms	52
(EncA)	Enclosing abstractions	52
(LCtx)	Lexical context	52
(Closed)	Closed terms	52
(Env1v),(Env2v)	Environment vectors	54
(L10)	<i>Scheme</i> ₁ , begin	65
(L11)	<i>Scheme</i> ₁ , set!	66
(T4)	<i>Scheme</i> ₁ add. tail positions	66
(L1b)	<i>Scheme</i> ₁ implicit sequences	66
(Appm)	Application with multiple variables	73
(SL)	Substitution lemma	74
(Err1),(Err2)	Errors	80

(AR)	Argument restriction	117
(A5b)	Implementation of (A5)	117
(A3b)	Implementation of (A3)	117
(LL1)–(LL6)	LC-based language definition	118
(AA1)–(AA3)	LC-based language abbreviations	118
(TT1)–(TT4)	LC-based language tail positions	123

Index

- α -conversion 12, 19
- β -convertibility 14
- β -expansion 121
- β -normal form 14
- β -redex 14
- β -reduction 13
- η -reduction 23
- λ -calculus 7
- λ -conversion 12
- λ -lifting 121
- $\lambda\beta$ -calculus 16
- $\lambda\eta$ -calculus 18
- λK -calculus 8
 - restricted 16, 30 44
- abbreviation 9
- abstract machine 40
- abstraction 8
 - enclosing 52
- address store 86
- alphabet 7
- alternative 31
- application 8, 42, 46
 - of non-function 81
- applicative order
 - reduction 15, 29
- argument 8
- associativity 9
- binary function 119
- binding 9, 45, 45
- boxing 68, 139
- branching 100
- call frame 84
- callee 59, 90
- caller 59, 90
- capturing 9
- Church numeral 21
- Church pair 32
- closure 55
 - conversion 55, 122
- combinator 133
- compiler 41
- complexity 51
- composition 22
- confluence 17
- conj function 26
- conjunction 26
- cons cell 35
- consequent 31
- constancy function 16
- context 45
 - global 145
- continuation 45
- conversion 12
- convertibility 14
- current environment 46
- currying 26
- data object 40
- definition 9
 - schematic 31
 - syntactical 9
- disj function 26
- disjunction 26
- div function 32
- division 28
- effect 46, 65
 - ordering 65

- enclosing abstraction 52
- enclosing term 52
- environment 43, 44, 86
 - current 46
 - initial 45
- environment map 136
- environment propagation 59
- environment pruning 134
- environment vector 54
- eqp function 27
- equality
 - extensional 18
 - intensional 18
- equivalence 9, 12
- equivalence class 19
- evaluation 15, 44
 - of arguments 77
- exp function 23
- expression 14, 44
- extensionality 18
- fixed point 27
- fixed point combinator 28
- formal system 7
- free variable 10, 135
- function 8
 - binary 119
 - nullary 74
 - primitive 47, 61, 61
 - propositional 25, 31
 - unary 119
 - variadic 78
- function definitions
 - conj 26
 - disj 26
 - div 32
 - eqp 27
 - exp 23
 - geqp 27
 - gtp 27
 - kar 35
 - kdr 35
 - kons 35
 - konsp 35
 - leqp 27
 - ltp 27
 - minus 25
 - neg 26
 - neqp 27
 - nullp 35
 - P (predecessor) 24
 - plus 22
 - plus 25
 - S (successor) 21
 - times 22
 - zerop 26
- function call 50
- function object 46
- function term 92
- geqp function 27
- global context 145
- gtp function 27
- head normal form 18, 40, 44
- hole 45
- initial environment 45
- inlining 61
- innermost 15
- instruction store 86
- jump-around-jump 94
- kar function 35
- kdr function 35
- kons function 35
- konsp function 35

- leqp function 27
- lexical context 46, 52
- list 33
- literal 86
- literal pool 86
- location 68
- loop unrolling 91
- ltp function 27
- macro 144
- macro expansion 144
- metacircular
 - interpretation 41, 144
- minus function 25
- modified subtraction 23
- mutation 65
- neg function 26
- negation 26
- neqp function 27
- normal form 14
 - head 18, 40, 44
 - principal 20, 53
- normal order reduction 15, 29
- nullary function 74
- nullp function 35
- ordered pair 32
- P (predecessor) function 24
- pair, Church-encoded 32
- plus function 22, 25
- predecessor 23
- primitive function 47, 61, 61
- principal normal form 20, 53
- program 36
- propositional function 25, 31
- quasi-quotation 144
- quotation 40
- reader 106
- recursion 28, 29
- redex 14
- reduction 13
 - applicative order 15, 29
 - normal order 15, 29
- register machine 96
- relational operator 27
- renaming 20, 134
- restricted
 - λK -calculus 16, 30, 44
- S (successor) function 21
- save (S9 Core) 104
- schema variable 143
- schematic definition 31
- schönfinkelization 26
- self application 73
- semantics 61
- sequencing 65
- sequent calculus 13
- shadowing 44
- stack 45, 86
- stack machine 84
- substitution 8, 11, 19, 142
- substitution lemma 74
- sub-term 9
- successor 21
- syntactic sugaring 31
- tail application 41
- tail call conversion 123
- tail call elimination 50, 89
- tail call optimization 50, 89
- tail position 49
- tail recursive 140
- term 43, 92
 - enclosing 52

- times function 22
- truth value 25
- unary function 119
- unboxing 69
- unsave (S9 Core) 104
- variable 8, 21, 43, 65
 - bound 9
 - free 10
- variable look-up 51
- variadic function 78
- virtual machine 84
- VM 84
- well-formed formula 7, 80
- wff 7, 80
- Y combinator 28
- zerop function 26